

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC., )  
PAR STERILE PRODUCTS, LLC, and )  
ENDO PAR INNOVATION )  
COMPANY, LLC, )

Plaintiffs,

V.

EAGLE PHARMACEUTICALS INC., )

Defendant.

C.A. No. 18-823-CFC-JLH

**LETTER TO THE HONORABLE COLM F. CONNOLLY**  
**BINDU A. PALAPURA, ESQUIRE**

OF COUNSEL:

Jay P. Lefkowitz, P.C.  
Jeanna M. Wacker  
Benjamin A. Lasky  
Sam Kwon  
Christopher J. Citro  
Ashley Cade  
Matthew Lembo  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Tel: (212) 446-4800

David E. Moore (#3983)  
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)  
Stephanie E. O'Byrne (#4446)  
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP  
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
1313 N. Market Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Tel: (302) 984-6000  
[dmoore@potteranderson.com](mailto:dmoore@potteranderson.com)  
[bpalapura@potteranderson.com](mailto:bpalapura@potteranderson.com)  
[sobyne@potteranderson.com](mailto:sobyne@potteranderson.com)

*Attorneys for Defendant*

Bryan S. Hales  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
300 North LaSalle  
Chicago, IL 60654  
Tel: (312) 862-2000

Dated: October 28, 2020  
6915254/45185



1313 North Market Street  
P.O. Box 951  
Wilmington, DE 19801-0951  
302 984 6000  
[www.potteranderson.com](http://www.potteranderson.com)

Bindu A. Palapura  
Partner  
Attorney at Law  
[bpalapura@potteranderson.com](mailto:bpalapura@potteranderson.com)  
302 984-6092 Direct Phone  
302 658-1192 Firm Fax

October 28, 2020

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

The Honorable Colm F. Connolly  
United States District Judge  
J. Caleb Boggs Federal Building  
844 N. King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: *Par Pharm., Inc. v. Eagle Pharm., Inc.*, C.A. No. 18-823-CFC-JLH

Dear Judge Connolly:

I write on behalf of Eagle Pharmaceuticals in response to Par's October 26 letter submitted in advance of today's Status Conference (D.I. 210). Eagle proposed that the parties request a status conference in order to apprise the Court of the current landscape, including the possibility of a launch-at-risk scenario and/or injunctive relief that Par might seek. The parties had discussed possible joint proposals for how we could proceed, but did not reach agreement.

The salient issue before the Court is when to schedule trial and/or preliminary injunction proceedings, so that the Court and parties can proceed in an orderly fashion now that the 30-month stay of final approval of Eagle's ANDA has expired.

Since FDA has granted Eagle's ANDA "priority review" FDA could approve at any time, even before the end of this year. Eagle has invested heavily in the development of this product, which is important to Eagle's business.

The Honorable Colm F. Connolly

October 28, 2020

Page 2

That means these issues could come to a head at any time. Eagle thus believes it is in the interests of the Court and parties to schedule trial, and/or prepare for and schedule proceedings for injunctive relief, sooner rather than later, if possible. Otherwise, the parties may come to the Court on an even more urgent or emergency basis, which could be particularly unideal given the complexities of the Court's schedule due to the pandemic. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] having had injunction proceedings or a trial already would provide more certainty and [REDACTED]. Indeed, one goal of the Hatch-Waxman framework is to get to trial and decision before a launch, which is why litigation runs in parallel to FDA proceedings and trials often occur before tentative approval.

As for the merits of Par's assertion [REDACTED] (D.I. 210), it misses the mark. Par correctly acknowledges—as it has to—that FDA has granted “priority review” to Eagle's ANDA. Par Ex. I. Par states that priority review [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] D.I 210, Attachment at 2. [REDACTED] Under FDA's Manual of Policy and Procedures, an ANDA granted priority review may receive *either* a shorter goal date (*if* the submission has not yet been assigned a goal date), *or* expedited review. FDA MaPP 5240.3 Rev. 5 at 3. Here, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Par Ex. I.

Par's argument that [REDACTED]” (D.I. 210), is wholly speculative. [REDACTED]. Likewise, [REDACTED] (D.I. 210, Attachment at 2), deserves no serious consideration. Eagle [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Par Ex. G at 6–7. [REDACTED] And in any event, since even Par acknowledges [REDACTED] scheduling trial and/or injunction proceedings now would allow for an orderly trial or hearing, and briefing, before Eagle's launch, much like the time between the original May 2020 trial date and the October 2020 expiry of the 30-month stay, which was set for that very reason.

We look forward to discussing these issues during the upcoming Status Conference.

The Honorable Colm F. Connolly

October 28, 2020

Page 3

Respectfully,

*/s/ Bindu A. Palapura*

Bindu A. Palapura

BAP:nmt/6915254/45185

cc: Counsel of Record (via electronic mail)